

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/30/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/685,007	10/14/2003	Mark E. Ramsey	GRD0245.US 4298	
759	90 12/30/2004		EXAM	INER
Todd T. Taylor			HAMMOND, BRIGGITTE R	
TAYLOR & AU	JST, P.C.			
142 S. Main St.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 560			2833	
Avilla, IN 467	'10		D. TT. V. H. TD. 10 10 10 10 10	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/685,007	RAMSEY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Briggitte R. Hammond	2833				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 October 2004.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6 and 14 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5,7-13 and 15-19 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Ll Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2833

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 15 is objected to because of the following informalities: in line 1, after "module" insert - -of claim 10- -. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United

Claims 1,2-5,7-10,12,13 and 15-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by McCarthy 5,964,618. McCarthy discloses a workstation assembly, comprising: a workstation surface 36 having opposite sides; and an electrical workstation module 2, including a housing 4 having a mounting surface adjacent one said side of said workstation surface; at least one modular electrical component 12 carried by said housing; an adjustment element 14,22 in contact with said housing; and a bracket 22 connected with said adjustment element, said bracket having a compressive surface 30 adjacent an other said side of said workstation surface and facing said mounting surface, said compressive surface movable in directions toward and away from said mounting surface using said adjustment element.

Regarding claims 10 and 18, McCarthy discloses a workstation assembly, comprising: an electrical workstation module 2, including a housing 4 having a mounting Art Unit: 2833

surface, at least one modular electrical component 12 carried by said housing; an adjustment element 14,22 in contact with said housing; and a bracket 22 connected with said adjustment element, said bracket having a compressive surface 30 adjacent an other said side of said workstation surface and facing said mounting surface, said compressive surface movable in directions toward and away from said mounting surface using said adjustment element.

Regarding claim 2, the movable bracket is connected to said work surface.

Regarding claims 4 and 12, said adjustment element includes a knob 56 having a threaded element connected to said knob and a flange connected to said threaded element.

Regarding claims 5 and 13, the housing includes a cover 30.

Regarding claim 7, said modular electrical component is a power receptacle.

Regarding claim 8, said bracket 22 is movable relative to said housing.

Regarding claims 9 and 19, said bracket is configured for mounting said electrical workstation module in at least one of an edge mounting, a through hole mounting and a slotted mounting.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McCarthy in view of Tsuchiya 5,501,614. McCarthy discloses the invention substantially as claimd except for the housing including a shaped slot, said movable bracket includes a shaped segment, said shaped segment located in said shaped slot. However, Tsuchiya discloses a movable shaped bracket located in a shaped slot of the housing (see fig. 3). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to modify the assembly of McCarthy by providing a lot on the housing for the bracket for slidable attachment as taught by Tsuchiya.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 6 and 14 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Briggitte R. Hammond whose telephone number is 571-272-2006. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs. and Alternate Fridays from 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paula A Bradley can be reached on 571-272-2800 ext. 33. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Briggitte R. Hammond

Examiner Art Unit 2833

December 23, 2004